

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,044	05/01/2001	Athar Shah	60,130-1048/01MRA0236	4502	
26096	7590 12/01/2005		EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
SUITE 350	AFLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3634		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/846,044	SHAH, ATHAR	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gregory J. Strimbu	3634	

	Gregory J. Strimbu	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	` '			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) hew the new or amended claims would be rejected is protein the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii de entered and an t	ехріаньшон ог		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7,11,12,14-16 and 20-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					
		GREGORY J. STRIMBI	j		
	1	GREGORY J. STRIMBI PRIMARY EXAMINER	1.		
		and L	Harris		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051123